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The joy of teaching English is often accompanied by bouts of frustration. Can you recognize any (or all) of the following students?

- The potentially top scoring student who settles for less.
- The student whose vocabulary skills are excellent for World of Warcraft, but remain stagnant in the classroom.
- The student who excels in simple discussions and colloquial jargon, but never masters precision and depth.
Lead in for today

With an insight in language learning strategies and how to implement them into our daily educational settings, we can help motivate our students to become aware of their academic potential and challenge them to break away from colloquial mediocrity!
## Common European Reference Levels - Global Scale

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Proficiency Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>C2</strong></td>
<td>Can understand with ease virtually everything heard or read. Can summarise information from different spoken and written sources, reconstructing arguments and accounts in a coherent presentation. Can express him/herself spontaneously, very fluently and precisely, differentiating finer shades of meaning even in more complex situations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>C1</strong></td>
<td>Can understand a wide range of demanding, longer texts, and recognise implicit meaning. Can express him/herself fluently and spontaneously without much obvious searching for expressions. Can use language flexibly and effectively for social, academic and professional purposes. Can produce clear, well-structured, detailed text on complex subjects, showing controlled use of organisational patterns, connectors and cohesive devices.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>B2</strong></td>
<td>Can understand the main ideas of complex text on both concrete and abstract topics, including technical discussions in his/her field of specialisation. Can interact with a degree of fluency and spontaneity that makes regular interaction with native speakers quite possible without strain for either party. Can produce clear, detailed text on a wide range of subjects and explain a viewpoint on a topical issue giving the advantages and disadvantages of various options.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>B1</strong></td>
<td>Can understand the main points of clear standard input on familiar matters regularly encountered in work, school, leisure, etc. Can deal with most situations likely to arise whilst travelling in an area where the language is spoken. Can produce simple connected text on topics which are familiar or of personal interest. Can describe experiences and events, dreams, hopes and ambitions and briefly give reasons and explanations for opinions and plans.</td>
</tr>
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<tr>
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</tr>
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</tr>
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</table>
## General Linguistic Range

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C2</td>
<td>Can exploit a comprehensive and reliable mastery of a very wide range of language to formulate thoughts precisely, give emphasis, differentiate and eliminate ambiguity. No signs of having to restrict what he/she wants to say.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1</td>
<td>Can select an appropriate formulation from a broad range of language to express him/herself clearly, without having to restrict what he/she wants to say.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2</td>
<td>Can express him/herself clearly and without much sign of having to restrict what he/she wants to say. Has a sufficient range of language to be able to give clear descriptions, express viewpoints and develop arguments without much conspicuous searching for words, using some complex sentence forms to do so.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1</td>
<td>Has a sufficient range of language to describe unpredictable situations, explain the main points in an idea or problem with reasonable precision and express thoughts on abstract or cultural topics such as music and films. Has enough language to get by, with sufficient vocabulary to express him/herself with some hesitation and circumlocutions on topics such as family, hobbies and interests, work, travel, and current events, but lexical limitations cause repetition and even difficulty with formulation at times.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Lead in for today – My arguments

• Teaching approaches for students studying English for Academic or Work Purposes that do not give significant focus to language form do these students a great disservice.

• At higher levels of proficiency, language use is about more than just getting things done. In study and work contexts, it is about getting things done in ways that are appropriate to particular contexts.

• In study and work contexts, fluency, accuracy and complexity play an important role in achieving meaning and establishing the credibility of the language user.
How is SLA research relevant to teachers?

- **Second Language Acquisition Research**
  - Aims to develop understanding of a range of factors that contribute to successful (or unsuccessful) learning of a second language

- **One aspiration of SLA research:**
  - Which instructional efforts actually further acquisition and which do not?
Some background...

The 1980’s leading to the 1990’s and up to now

- A paradigm shift from structural approaches to teaching to Communicative Language Teaching
- SLA Theories turned towards the role of input and interaction in facilitating acquisition

“Input is perhaps the single most important concept of second language acquisition. It is trivial to point out that no individual can learn a language without input of some sort.” (Gass 1997: 1)
Krashen’s Comprehension Hypothesis
(formerly the Input Hypothesis)

- We acquire language when we understand messages, when we understand what people tell us and when we understand what we read.
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• We acquire language when we understand messages, when we understand what people tell us and when we understand what we read.

• Applies to literacy: Our reading ability, our ability to write in an acceptable writing style, our spelling ability, vocabulary knowledge, and our ability to handle complex syntax is the result of reading.
Krashen’s Comprehension Hypothesis (formerly the Input Hypothesis)

- We acquire language when we understand messages, when we understand what people tell us and when we understand what we read.
- Applies to literacy: Our reading ability, our ability to write in an acceptable writing style, our spelling ability, vocabulary knowledge, and our ability to handle complex syntax is the result of reading.
- The Comprehension Hypothesis refers to subconscious acquisition, not conscious learning. The result of providing acquirers with comprehensible input is the emergence of grammatical structure in a predictable order. A strong affective filter (e.g. high anxiety) will prevent input from reaching those parts of the brain that do language acquisition. (Krashen, 2004:1-2).
Environmental contributions to acquisition are mediated by:
- Selective attention
- The learner's developing L2 processing capacity

These resources are brought together most usefully, although not exclusively, during negotiation for meaning.
- Negative feedback may be facilitative of L2 development, at least for vocabulary, morphology and language-specific syntax
- Negative feedback is essential for learning certain specifiable L1-L2 contrasts.

(Long, 1996, p. 414)
Long’s Interaction Hypothesis (1996)

- Environmental contributions to acquisition are mediated by:
  - Selective attention
  - The learner's developing L2 processing capacity,
- These resources are brought together most usefully, although not exclusively, during negotiation for meaning.
  - **Negative feedback** may be facilitative of L2 development, at least for vocabulary, morphology and language-specific syntax,
  - **Negative feedback** is essential for learning certain specifiable L1-L2 contrasts.

(Long, 1996, p. 414)
Noticing (Schmidt, 1993)

- Noticing registers the simple occurrence of some event
- Understanding implies recognition of a general principle, rule, or pattern
  - For example, a second language learner might simply notice that a native (or more proficient) speaker used a particular form of address on a particular occasion, or at a deeper level the learner might understand the significance of such a form, realizing that the form used was appropriate because of the status differences between speaker and hearer.
Several factors can influence what learners notice:

- Frequency of occurrence
- The status of a linguistic property in the L2 in comparison with its status in the L1
- Attentional resources must not be tied up with information content/meaning-making
- Instruction on target forms can enhance their salience in later input
- Topicalisation of language by other students or through student/teacher discourse can result in more uptake
- Learner uptake in any given lesson is individual and does not always coincide with the planned focus of the lesson
Several factors can influence what learners notice:

- **Frequency of occurrence**
- The status of a linguistic property in the L2 in comparison with its status in the L1
- **Attentional resources must not be tied up with information content/meaning-making**
- Instruction on target forms can enhance their salience in later input
- Topicalisation of language by other students or through student/teacher discourse can result in more uptake
- Learner uptake in any given lesson is individual and does not always coincide with the planned focus of the lesson
Information Processing

• Humans have a limited capacity for information processing
• Learners cannot pay attention to form without a loss of attention to content.
  – When confronted with the choice between attention to content or form, learners prioritize content. (Van Patten, 1990)
• If a task demands a lot of attention to its content, there will be less attention available for a focus on language
Dimensions of language performance

Performance dimensions

Fluency

Form

Accuracy

Complexity

(Skehan, 1998)
Incidental and Intentional Learning

• Incidental learning
  – Learning without intention
  – May be implicit or explicit

• Explicit learning/teaching
  – Learners’ attention is directed toward the specific use of language form
Incidental learning is not enough (Skehan, 1990)

- Strategic use and a focus on meaning often negate the need for analysis of input (Swain, 1985)

- Incidental learning may not supply a sufficient number of repetitions in the short space of time needed for information to be stored in long-term memory (Cobb, 2007)

- Incidental learning is slow.
Incidental learning is not enough (Skehan, 1990)

- Hill and Laufer (2003) estimate that, at the rates of incidental learning reported in many studies, a L2 learner would have to read over 8 million words of text, or about 420 novels to increase their vocabulary size by 2000 words.

- Incidental learning does seem to be very useful in developing and enriching partially known vocabulary [language]. (Schmitt, 2008)
Addressing language form in teaching

(Long and Robinson, 1998)

• Option 1 - synthetic - focus on forms
  – Pre-determined list of grammar items worked through in turn

• Option 2 – analytic - focus on meaning
  – eliminated grammar completely from conscious study

• Option 3 – analytic - focus on form
  – Primary focus on meaning-focused communication with occasional breaks to focus on related language forms
Advantages of *studying* a second language

• Teachers, textbooks and other materials can engage learners in meaningful activities AND draw learners’ attention to particular aspects of language use that learners may otherwise not notice

• Guided-noticing facilitates and speeds up the learning process
### Balancing fluency, accuracy and complexity

#### Table 4  Four strands of vocabulary teaching (Nation, 2001, p. 390)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strand</th>
<th>General conditions</th>
<th>Vocabulary requirements</th>
<th>Activities and techniques</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Meaning-focused input</td>
<td>- Focus on the message</td>
<td>- 95%+ coverage (preferably 98%)</td>
<td>- Reading graded readers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Some unfamiliar items</td>
<td>- Skill at guessing from context</td>
<td>- Listening to stories</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Understanding</td>
<td>- Opportunity to negotiate</td>
<td>- Communication activities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Noticing</td>
<td>- Incidental defining and attention drawing</td>
<td>- Prepared writing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meaning-focused output</td>
<td>- Focus on the message</td>
<td>- 95%+ coverage (preferably 98%)</td>
<td>- Linked skills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Some unfamiliar items</td>
<td>- Encouragement to use unfamiliar items</td>
<td>- Direct teaching of vocabulary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Understanding</td>
<td>- Supportive input</td>
<td>- Direct learning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Noticing</td>
<td>- Skill in vocabulary learning Strategies</td>
<td>- Intensive reading</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Language-focused learning</td>
<td>- Focus on language items</td>
<td>- Appropriate teacher focus on high-frequency words, and strategies for low-frequency words</td>
<td>- Training in vocabulary strategies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluency development</td>
<td>- Focus on the message</td>
<td>- 99%+ coverage</td>
<td>- Reading easy graded readers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Little or no unfamiliar language</td>
<td>- Repetition</td>
<td>- Repeated reading</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Pressure to perform faster</td>
<td></td>
<td>- Speed reading</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Listening to easy input</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- 4/3/2 speaking exercise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Rehearsal tasks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- 10-minute writing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Linked skills</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Academic Writing (and the world of work)

• In order to handle academic study, students need to do the following:
  ▪ Be skillful at taking words and their associated grammar from their reading to use in their writing. (Coxhead and Byrd, 2007)
  ▪ Academic writing proceeds to a great extent on the basis of skillful use of other people’s words and the corporate wording that has developed as the signature of academic writing generally and in disciplinary subfields particularly (Macdonald, 1994 in Coxhead and Byrd, 2007).
A common English class activity

• Writing Summaries
  – Activities based on ideas presented by Jan Frodesen, UC Santa Barbara and Margi Wald, UC Berkeley at TESOL 2010
• Read the article.
• Re-read the article. Underline important ideas. Circle key terms.
• Divide the article into sections or stages of thought.
• Write a sentence that paraphrases the main point of each section.
• Write your rough draft of the summary. Combine the information from the first four steps into paragraphs using transitions.
  – Use the author's key words.
  – Follow the original organization where possible.
  – Be concise. Eliminate needless words and repetitions
• Compare your version to the original.
**Overview**

- Focus on using classifier word and representing an author’s stance in summaries.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Original Texts</th>
<th>Summary Classifier Words</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>librarian</td>
<td>jobs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>museum curator</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>management</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>advertising</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sales</td>
<td>careers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>management</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>advertising</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>getting ahead</td>
<td>change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>make the break on the career front</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Stance:**
- disagrees with
- questions
- repudiates

Based on Hood, 2008
Developing classifier vocabulary for summary writing

- Using organizing classifier words to summarize main points
- Using classifier words to summarize content-specific details
- Steps for identifying and creating classifier vocabulary for source texts
- Guided tasks for developing skills in creating classifier words/phrases for summary writing.
Using classifier words to summarize main points (1)

- What is the author doing at various points in providing examples or details?
  
  • Do they represent reasons, advantages, problems, solutions, clarifications, objections etc.?  
  • These classifier words help to organize the larger chunks of a text, including the text as a whole

- Organizing classifier words (typically general academic words) may often be used first as sentence objects to summarize what the author does:
  
  • Storch points out a number of problems with the current textbook purchase system.
Organizing classifier words may later be repeated in *subject* position with a reference word such as *these* or *such*, creating cohesion with previous parts of text:

- **These problems** have led to ...

The classifier word may be modified to summarize what the author discussed:

- **Such financial problems** have led to...

Or a synonym for the classifier word can be used for the same purpose:

- **These concerns/difficulties/cost inefficiencies** have led to...
To summarize content - specific details

- What words or phrases can be used to classify ideas, actions or objects described in examples or details?

- Examples: processes, experiments, observations, natural resources, behavior, disagreements, doubts
Steps for identifying and creating classifier vocabulary for source texts
Step 1

- After identifying the overall purpose of the text (e.g., solution to a problem), guide students in annotating sections
  - In the margins, write brief phrases with organizer words to identify purposes of major sections (e.g., problems of x, advantages of y, reasons for z explanations for zz)
  - Depending on class level and source text, give several examples of organizer words or provide entire “menu” of choices prior to starting.

(Note: More advanced S’s could do this in groups or the task could be divided with each group doing one or two paragraphs; for others whole class, work led by T might be better)
Step 1 Examples

• Examples for the Storch passage:
  1. Problem with current system of accessing educational content
  2. Solution to problem of current situation/Alternative to current system
  3. Consequences of current situation
  4. Reasons for problem with current system
  5. Another problem with current system/reason for problem
  6. Reason for problem not buying book
  7. Solution to problem/Alternative to current system
Step 2

• Skim the source text to find classifier vocabulary that the author uses
  – Highlight or underline words and phrases that track the development of the theme or topic
  – Texts with multiple themes/subthemes - color coding works best

• Example: “Needed: A Single Electronic Source for Textbooks”
  – See handout
Step 3

• Show how classifier nouns can serve as modifiers for other nouns to build cohesive links, either as compound nouns or adjective forms

• Examples: content distribution system, higher education institutions, cost efficiencies (Storch)
Step 4

• Consider synonyms (words or phrases) for source text classifiers
  – Examples (Storch)
  
    new model: innovation  access: use
Step 5

• Consider other classifier words not used by author

  – Example from student summary of Storch article:
    • This tendency creates a lose-lose situation

  – Using classifiers for “Generational Myth” details
    • pundits, professors, pop critics → authorities, experts
    • computers, mobile phones → digital devices
Guided tasks for developing skills in creating classifier words/phrases for summary writing

Note: The passage for task examples, from Clyde Kluckhohn’s *Mirror for Man*, was used for a University of California systemwide writing exam and can be accessed on the UC Entry Level Writing Requirement (ELWR) website: [http://www.ucop.edu/elwr/index.html](http://www.ucop.edu/elwr/index.html). Examples from student essays are also on this site.
Task 1

• Give students groups of several terms, statements (verbatim or paraphrased) from a source text.
• Ask them to find an appropriate classifier word or phrase for each group. They may borrow one from the source text or come with one of their own.
• Provide a summary sentence to complete by writing their choice in the blank (or, for less guided work, ask them to write summary sentences based on an example).
• Discuss responses as a class or have students compare their responses in small groups.
Task 1 Examples

1. a. Americans brush their teeth on arising.
   b. We put on pants – not a loincloth or grass skirt.
   c. We eat three meals a day – not
   – Kluckhohn points out that these patterns/regularities/behaviors are not individually determined.

   l a. style of gait
      b. arm and hand movements
      c. facial expression

   1. Kluckhohn describes a young Anglo-American whose body language was Chinese.

   l a. cooking pot
      b. Beethoven sonata
      – Kluckhohn notes that all the things groups of people share can be regarded as cultural products/artifacts, not just those regarded as high culture.
Task 2

- Give students a model summary or summary/response to a source text.
- Ask them to find and highlight the classifier words or phrases that summarize or, in response, refer to the author’s main ideas, details or examples.
- Discuss which classifiers are taken from the source text, which ones the writer came up with, and which might be a variation of something in the source text (e.g., ways of thinking -> different ways of thinking)
Task 2 Examples

• Examples from high-scoring essays in response to Kluckhohn passage (Essay 3 written by a non-native English speaker).
  – **Essay 1**: biological differences, differing views, different upbringing, this limitation (this last a classifier word summarizing “the facts of nature also limit culture forms”)
  – **Essay 2**: behaviors, superficial differences, fundamental similarities, biological factors, actions, habit(s), phenomena, pattern caused by certain past factors
  – **Essay 3**: two ways of thinking, ways of thinking, different ways of thinking, different behaviors, attitudes, responses
Representing an author’s stance in academic summaries

- Noticing and defining stance
- Tracing an author’s stance throughout a source text
- Representing an author’s stance in a summary
  - Summarizing a position
  - Summarizing a counter-position
  - Summarizing a cautionary conclusion
- Representing the writer’s stance
• We should not label today’s young people “the digital generation” because there is no such thing.
• The author does not feel that we should label today’s young people “the digital generation.”
• The author argues against labeling today’s young people “the digital generation.”
• The author questions the use of the label “the digital generation” for today’s young people.
• The author repudiates the claim that today’s young people truly comprise a “digital generation.”
Defining Stance

Hyland (2005) on Stance:

- Authors “express a textual ‘voice’ or community recognized personality which, following others, I shall call stance. This can be seen as an attitudinal dimension and includes features which refer to the ways writers present themselves and convey their judgements, opinions, and commitments. It is the ways that writers intrude to stamp their personal authority onto their arguments or step back and disguise their involvement” (p. 176).
Tracing an author’s stance (1)

- See Generational Myth: handout
- Together with students (instructor-led) or by putting students in teams, have students underline or highlight the words or phrases that seem to suggest a stance.
- Suggest the students look at stance markers that run throughout the text and those that are used in particular sections (openings, conclusions, presenting a problem, etc.).
- Discuss the connotations of highlighted words and phrases.
1. Consider all the pundits, professors, and pop critics who have wrung their hands over the inadequacies of the so-called digital generation of young people filling our colleges and jobs. Then consider those commentators who celebrate the creative brilliance of digitally adept youth. To them all, I want to ask: Whom are you talking about? There is no such thing as a "digital generation."

4. When I read that, I shuddered. I shook my head. I rolled my eyes. And I sighed. I have been hearing some version of the "kids today" or "this generation believes" argument for more than a dozen years of studying and teaching about digital culture and technology.
7. Talk of a "digital generation" or people who are "born digital" willfully ignores the vast range of skills, knowledge, and experience of many segments of society. It ignores the needs and perspectives of those young people who are not socially or financially privileged. It presumes a level playing field and equal access to time, knowledge, skills, and technologies. The ethnic, national, gender, and class biases of any sort of generation talk are troubling. And they could not be more obvious than when discussing assumptions about digital media.
Tracing an author’s stance (3)

- Green = Controlling idea:
  - myth (title) scare quotes, so-called, pretend, mystical talk, just not true, presumption, assume, supposed

- Reacts:
  - Purple = Author’s reaction
    - Shuddered, shook, rolled my eyes, sighed
  - Yellow = Counterclaims
    - willfully ignores, ignores, presumes, talk is troubling
  - Yellow = Setting up counterargument:
    - Could not be more obvious than

- Conclusion-outcome
  - Blue = Nouns: one-size-fits-all system
  - Blue = Verbs: pander, force, rushing to
  - Dark Green = Lo and behold (sarcasm)
Representing an author’s stance: Templates

- Here are some templates for introducing an outside source:
  - As Siva Vaidhyanathan **claims** in his article “Generational Myth,” [thesis or claim here]
  - In the article “Generational Myth,” Siva Vaidhyanathan **examines** [topic: the problems surrounding the presumption of a digital generation’].
  - **According to** Siva Vaidhyanathan, in his book *The Googlization of Everything*, [thesis or claim here]
  - **In** Siva Vaidhyanathan’s **view**, [thesis or claim here]
Arguing a position
Below are several lists of verbs that can help you present a summary of a text. There are many, many more, but these verbs can help you write a summary of the kind of argument presented in “Generational Myth.” Let’s examine which words to use to help capture SV’s stance.

- To argue a position
  - argue
  - assert
  - believes
  - claims
  - contends
  - emphasizes
  - implies

- maintain
- points out
- posits
- states
- stress
- suggests
Exercises highlighting stronger/weaker stance

1. Underline the word that creates a stronger stance:
   - The author argues / suggests that the digital generation label is misleading.
   - The author argues / asserts that the digital generation label is misleading.

2. Ask students to place the verbs along a spectrum from weakest to strongest.
Exercises for checking grammatical environment

1. Which three of the following sentences are OK?
   - The author emphasizes the variety of digital skills the younger generation has.
   - The author emphasizes that members of the younger generation do not all share the same digital skills.
   - The author maintains the variety of digital skills the younger generation has.
   - The author maintains that members of the younger generation do not all share the same digital skills.

(can be based on whole group in-class concordance / learner dictionary searches or the teacher’s provision of the answers depending on the level)

2. Groups of advanced students can be given a set of the words in the list to investigate independently. Students can then share results of what they find.
Exercise for checking collocations

Using the word ‘strongly’ can transform a more neutral verb into one that expresses a stronger stance. Not all verbs co-locate or go together with this word. Which of the follow verbs can co-locate with ‘strongly’? Which are most common? Put a √+ if common, √ if possible, - if the collocation does not appear.

| ________ argue | ________ maintain |
| ________ assert | ________ points out |
| ________ believes | ________ posits |
| ________ claims | ________ states |
| ________ contends | ________ stress |
| ________ emphasizes | ________ suggests |
| ________ implies | |

Exercises for sentence practice

• Follow up with practice generating sentences about “Generational Myth”
  – Strongly + verb:
  – Strong stance verb + that-clause
  – Strong stance verb + noun
Summarizing a counter position
Verbs

• The words below can be used to express an author’s counter-position: a disagreement with the argument made by another author or a belief held by others.

___ argues against
___ challenges
___ condemns
___ contests
___ counters
___ criticizes
___ disagrees with
___ discredits
___ dismisses
___ disputes
___ objects to
___ opposes
___ questions
___ refutes
___ rejects
___ repudiates
Exercise for introducing verbs

• Put a ‘+’ in the blank next to all of the verbs in the list whose meanings you are familiar with and which you use in your own writing.
• Put a ‘√’ next to those words whose meanings you are familiar with but which you may only rarely use.
• Put a ‘-’ in the blank next to words you are less familiar with.
• The words with a ‘√’ or ‘-’ represent those whose meanings you should look up in a dictionary and those that you should practice using today.
Exercises highlighting stronger/weaker stance

- Multiple choice
- Continuum
Exercises for checking collocations (1)

• Like the verbs that introduce the author’s opinion, these counter-position verbs can also co-locate with adverbs to represent stronger stance, but you have a few more options.
  • soundly
  • strongly
  • vehemently
• Which will help you represent the strongest stance?
Exercises for checking collocations (2)

- Look each verb up in a concordancer or collocations dictionary. Which verbs can co-locate with ‘soundly,’ which with ‘strongly,’ and which with ‘vehemently’? Match the adverb with all of the verbs it can go together with.

- strongly
- soundly
- vehemently

  ___ argues against
  ___ challenges
  ___ condemns
  ___ contests
  ___ counters
  ___ criticizes
  ___ disagrees with
  ___ discredits
  ___ dismisses
  ___ disputes
  ___ objects to
  ___ opposes
  ___ questions
  ___ refutes
  ___ rejects
  ___ repudiates
Exercise for checking grammatical environment

• Interestingly, unlike the words that introduce the author’s position, these verbs are almost always followed by nouns. Here is a list of nouns that commonly come after these verbs.

• Vaidhyanathan questions....

Gomez’s assertion that  
the (author’s) assumption that  
the belief in / that  
the claim that  
the contention that  
the fact that  
the finding that  

the idea of / that  
the notion that  
the position that  
the proposal to / for / that  
the theory of / that  
the view of / that
Exercises for sentence practice

• Follow up with practice generating sentences about “Generational Myth”
  – adverb + verb
  – strong stance verb + noun x 2 – use verbs that are less familiar to you.
Setting up cautionary conclusions

• At the very end of “Generational Myth,” the author discusses the negative outcomes associated with plans based on a “so-called digital generation.”

• You can express this idea after a verb like ‘discuss’, but to represent a stronger stance, consider these verbs:
  – advises against making sweeping changes based on a “so-called digital generation.”
  – cautions…
  – urges…
  – warns…

• Follow up with sentence practice.
What about the writer’s stance?
What we see

• Here’s what I often read in student summaries:
  – Amazing argument
  – Awesome discussion
  – Fantastic account
  – Great job / discussion
  – Spectacular account
  – Super argument
What we’d prefer

- Accurate
- Balanced
- Compelling
- Comprehensive
- Convincing
- Critical
- Detailed
- Extensive

- Fascinating
- Gripping
- Interesting
- Persuasive
- Thoughtful
- Vivid
- Well supported
1. Ask students to group adjectives: personal feeling created, credibility based on support, etc.
2. Ask students to write a few opening sentences to “Generational Myth” following the patterns below:
   - The author provides a(n) ______________ account of _________________.
   - The article presents a(n) ______________ discussion of _________________.
   - The author advances a(n) ______________ argument focusing on _________________. 
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