# Disambiguating homonymous enclitics in Greenlandic 

Liv Molich<br>Oqaasileriffik / The Language Secretariat of Greenland<br>livm@oqaasileriffik.gl


#### Abstract

In Greenlandic ambiguities are very common. Some of these can easily be disambiguated, but others are harder to handle and call for creative thinking. Greenlandic enclitics are very widely used, and some of them can be interpreted as both conjunctional and adverbial particles. A Greenlandic constraint grammar (CG) was initiated more than a decade ago, but the disambiguation of homographic enclitics was not attempted before 2018. In this paper I deal with the disambiguational challenges of enclitic particles, including how disambiguation of word class and disambiguation of enclitic can be interdependent, and how disambiguation problems can be solved by looking at the combination of inflection and enclitic.

This is an important issue, because different renderings of enclitics - like many other morphemes - can change the syntax of the sentence completely.


## 1 Credits

The Greenlandic CG was initiated in 2008 by Per Langgård in collaboration with Eckhard Bick and Tino Didriksen. The grammar has continuously been improved, especially by Per Langgård, assisted by changing colleagues. A Greenlandic-Danish-Greenlandic machine translation project running from 2017 till the end of 2021 under the auspices of the Language Secretariat of Greenland (Oqaasileriffik 2016) has speeded up the improvement of the CG. Thanks to this work, the Green-

[^0]landic CG is now performing better than ever before, but some major issues still need attention.

## 2 Introduction

Greenlandic is a polysynthetic, agglutinative, split-ergative pro-drop language with a rich morphology. The level of ambiguity is high, with an average number of 3-4 readings per cohort on the morphological level alone (Oqaasileriffik 2010; Molich 2019:4). Most Greenlandic words consist of a root and several derivational morphemes followed by inflection and sometimes one or more enclitics. When the morphemes are combined, a number of morphophonological phenomena (Langgård 1997) blur the morpheme boundaries, raising the level of ambiguity and resulting in a hard job of disambiguation.

In addition to ambiguities caused by morphophonology, there are also ambiguities at the word class level: Common nouns are not distinguishable from adjectives, ${ }^{1}$ and adjectives are often homonymous to verbs. Additionally, enclitics can be interpreted as adverbs or conjunctions, but graphically they do not differ. However, word class disambiguation is needed to disambiguate the enclitics.

## 3 The enclitic particles can be conjunctions or adverbs

Three enclitic particles, $\{$ luunniit $\},\{l u\}$ and $\{l i\}$, share some features: They can be added to any word and may function as conjunctions or adverbs, without changing the syntactic potential or word class of the word they are added to. If the enclitic particle is conjunctional, it coordinates the phrase itself with the phrase to the left of it - disjunctively, cumulatively, or adversatively. If the enclitic is adverbial, it only modifies the clause that

[^1]it is part of.
The adverbial enclitics often lead to translations with subordinate conjunctions if they are added to verbs in the participle or contemporative mood. Such enclitics may therefore be equated to conjunctions, even though they are adverbial seen from a purely Greenlandic point of view.

### 3.1 An example: \{luunniit \}

The following quote ${ }^{2}$ is an example of adverbial use and conjunctional use of \{luunniit $\}$ and shows that disambiguation between the two functions is needed:

EX Maleruagassa-t
rule-N.Abs. $\mathrm{P}^{3}$
@SUBJ>
suminngaanneer-aluar-aanni-luunniit
be.from.where-even-V.Par.Im-even.Encl.Adv
@CL-ADVL>
atuир-put,
be.in.use-V.Ind.3P,
@PRED
franski-u-gaanni
Frenchman-be-V.Par.Im
@CL-<ADVL
tyrkeri-u-gaanni
Turk-be-V.Par.Im
@CL-<ADVL

## kalaali-u-gaanni-luunniit.

Greenlander-be-V.Par.Im-or.Encl.Conj
@ CL-<ADVL
"The rules apply no matter where you are from, [no matter] if you are a Frenchman, a Turk or a Greenlander."

Both \{luunniit\} enclitics are added to an impersonal participle -gaanni, "you" or "one", but they clearly have different functions, the first being adverbial "no matter where you are from" and the second conjunctional, "or if you are a Greenlander". Formerly, both \{luunniit\}s were translated by "even though" (selvom in Danish). This is clearly not what we intend, especially not where \{luunniit\} should be translated as a coordinating conjunction.

[^2]
### 3.2 Writing the rules for \{luunniit \}

As the example shows, the syntactic function of the enclitic cannot be deduced from morphology alone, and syntactic rules must therefore be written in order to be able to do the disambiguation. The syntactic function of the enclitic is shown by adding a secondary tag to the word, Gram/Advencl or Gram/Conj-encl. These secondary tags facilitate correct translations of the enclitics into other languages.

If conjunctional, \{luunniit\} must coordinate two similar phrases. In the following rule, used in the example above, the secondary tag Gram/Conjencl is added to the enclitic, if a verb in the same mood appears to the left of the enclitic, as well as to the right of or in the same word as the enclitic. All rules for enclitic disambiguation will add such a secondary tag.

SUBSTITUTE:conj1luun
(LUUNNIIT) (LUUNNIIT Gram/Conj-encl)
TARGET LUUNNIIT + \$\$MOOD
IF (-*1 \$\$MOOD - Gram/Exclm
BARRIER (*) - KOMMA) ;
Disambiguation rules such as this one are written for the adverbial and conjuctional enclitics appearning in different contexts.

### 3.3 Placing the rules for $\{$ luunniit $\}$

The above rule works well, but often word class disambiguation is needed before the enclitic disambiguation rules. The most logical thing to do is therefore to place the rules for \{luunniit\} after the word class disambiguation rules. However, this is problematic because the disambiguation of enclitics is needed for disambiguation of word class, and word class disambiguation is sometimes needed before disambiguation of enclitics. Both needs of course cannot be met at the same time.

Disambiguation of nominal and verbal participle is not done until late in the grammar because of the complexity in this task - this will be discussed below. Because of these and other unsolved ambiguities, I have decided to place the enclitic disambiguation rules in the top of the grammar, rather than in the bottom. Because of the many ambiguities, some of the enclitics are left undisambiguated in the first place. The enclitics that have been disambiguated are, however, helpful in the subsequent word disambiguation rules.

### 3.4 Running the rules for \{luunniit \}

The Greenlandic CG is run twice, the first time only using the disambiguation rules and the second time using disambiguation rules as well as mapping rules. ${ }^{4}$ This feature is useful for proofreading the enclitic disambiguation rules: If the secondary tag in the first run is different from the one in the second run, the rules might need an adjustment, or the difference may point to a true ambiguity.

At last, the secondary tag is used for translation of the enclitic. In cases of true ambiguity or enclitics that for some reason have not been targeted by any of the disambiguation rules, the disambiguation must be performed in a later grammar or directly in the translation lexicon rules.

## 4 An inflectional suffix homonymous to a derivational morpheme

While the impersonal participle -gaanni in the example above can be ambiguous, a much more serious problem is represented by the personal participle written -toq or -soq, identical to a derivational morpheme, the nominal participle $\{$ tuq $\} .{ }^{5}$

### 4.1 An example of -toq

The various possibilities of interpreting words ending in the ambiguous -toq call for radically different syntactic analyses. A word like atuartoq can mean either "that he ${ }^{6}$ is ${ }^{7}$ reading" or "a pupil":

```
EX Atuar-toq
    read-Nzr.N.Abs.S
    The pupil
    @OBJ>
    taku-ara
    see-V.Ind.1S.3SO}\mp@subsup{}{}{8
    I saw him
    @PRED
    "I saw the pupil."
EX Atuar-toq taku-ara
    read-V.Par.3Sg
    That he was reading
    @CL->CIT
        see-V.Ind.1S.3SO}\mp@subsup{}{}{9
        I saw it
        @PRED
```

[^3]"I saw that he was reading / I saw him read."
Both interpretations are equally possible and depend on the context alone. Therefore, in cases such as this one, the choice between nominal and verbal participle should only be made with context taken into account.

### 4.2 Combination of participle -toq and enclitic $\{\mathbf{l u}\}$

Fortunately, real ambiguities are rare. In some cases the ambiguities can be solved by looking at the combination of inflection and enclitic:

## EX Taama

That.Part
That
@ ADVL>
oqar-tor-lu
say-V.Par.3S-when.Encl.Adv ${ }^{10}$ when he said
@ ADVL>

## paatsiveerup-punga

become.confused-V.Ind.1S
I became confused
@PRED
"When he said that, I became confused."
Here, the adverbial, enclitic particle $\{l u\}$ and the verbal participle -toq are used in combination to show that the first two words form a temporal subordinate clause, "when he said that", which is not coordinated, and should therefore not be translated as *"and when he said that".

This combination of enclitic and participle can be written in a simple rule:

SUBSTITUTE:Adv02lux
(LU) (LU Gram/Adv-encl)
TARGET LU + Par
IF (NEGATE *-1 V) ;
Here, $\{l \mathbf{u}\}$ is marked adverbially if it is added to a verbal participle, and if no other verb is found to the left of it.

In this way, the word class can sometimes be determined by looking at the combination of enclitic and inflection.

## 5 Evaluation

Disambiguation of enclitic \{luunniit\}, \{lu\} and $\{\mathrm{li}\}$ is in most cases done in the first run of the

[^4]grammar. In a minor test corpus (Lynge 1976) of 16,400 words in 1951 sentences, 1395 of the words contained one of the three enclitics - almost one per sentence. The syntactic analyses among 140 luunniit-clauses, were improved in 26 cases. Of the 140 occurrences, 8 words containing \{luunniit\} had no morphological analysis at all, 8 \{luunniit\}s were not disambiguated, 3 were not disambiguated before the second run, and 2 were marked adverbial as well as conjunctional. Most failures were due to the missing morphological analyses of one or more words in the clause.

## 6 Conclusion

Disambiguation problems abound in Greenlandic, and they sometimes call for creative thinking. Some of the problems can be solved by distinguishing between adverbial and conjunctional enclitics. Disambiguation of word class and disambiguation of enclitic are interdependent, but disambiguation of enclitics can sometimes be done simultaneously with disambiguation of inflection.
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[^0]:    This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International Licence. Licence details: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ For this reason common nouns and adjectives are treated as belonging to the same word class, nouns.

[^2]:    ${ }^{2}$ KNR 2005. The example has been shortened.
    ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~N}=$ noun; Abs=absolutive case; $\mathrm{P}=$ plural; $\mathrm{V}=$ verb; Ind=indicative mood; Par=verbal participle; Im=impersonal; 3=3rd person; Encl=enclitic particle; Adv=adverbial; Conj=conjunctional.

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ According to Tino Didriksen, $97,5 \%$ of the disambiguation is done in the first run, and most of the remaining disambiguation is done on the basis of the syntactic tags. The grammar could therefore easily have been split into a disambiguating grammar and a mapping grammar (personal communication, August 2019).
    ${ }^{5} u$ and $o$ are orthographic variations of the phonemic vowel /u/.
    ${ }^{6}$ In Greenlandic, gender is not a grammatical category. Here and later, "he" could just as well have been "she" or "it".
    ${ }^{7}$ Present and past tense is normally not marked morphologically, so depending on the context, it could be translated as "was".

[^4]:    ${ }^{8}$ Nzr=nominalizer; $\quad \mathrm{N}=$ noun; $\quad$ Abs=absolutive case; $S=$ singular; V=verb; Ind=indicative mood; $1=1$ st person; 3=3rd person; $\mathrm{O}=$ object.
    ${ }^{9}$ V=verb; Ind=indicative moor; Par=participle mood; $1=1$ st person; $3=3$ rd person; $\mathrm{S}=$ singular; $\mathrm{O}=$ object.
    ${ }^{10}$ Part=particle; V=verb; Ind=indicative mood; Par=participle mood; $1=1$ st person; $3=3$ rd person; $\mathrm{S}=$ singular; Encl=enclitic particle; Adv=adverbial.

